## (Start Belt #6)

on opening day we had Senator Stromer stand up and really try to amend by a motion what was in the statutes. I remember several years ago didn't Senator Bridenbaugh, he didn't like the way Senator Carpenter was conducting an interim study committee so he introduced a bill and it was passed binding a chairman to a certain extent on how he conducted a Legislative Council chairmanship. Now I think all of this type of thing is wrong and unnecessary. I think that we should be adult enough to do all these things whenever possible by rules but not bind ourselves by statutes. Why do we have to have a statute and a law against ourself for every little thing that we can do by a rule. We have, in my opinion, so many statutes now that are actually in conflict. For instance, we have a rule on the four districts situation, yet we have Executive Board on three districts situation. Now I am not quarreling about this type of thing only to the extent is, I think a little consistency would be helpful and I think we are able to govern the internal affairs of this Legislature without passing a law. Therefore, what this Resolution would do would direct Senator Luedtke and I help he can get help out of Jack Rogers of the Research Council and review this, these laws, and some of them that the Judiciary Committee and Senator Luedtke would think are unnecessary that we could by rules rather than law, then I ask in this motion a directive that the Judiciary Committee to introduce a bill to take care of the situation and that's the whole thing. you.

SPEAKER: Any further discussion on the motion. Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: I would like to amend the resolution and send it to the Executive Council. We are the only ones who have at our disgression a staff and I can assure this body over a period of time we are going to have a staff that is competent, qualified, and reasonably well paid. I would agree with Senator Syas asks for and I would hope that if he would like to become a member of the committee to do this if the Executive Board authorizes. He would be willing to serve with it and better direct them as what his intent is.

SPEAKER: Do you all understand the Carpenter amendment? Senator Syas..

SENATOR SYAS: I would rather, whichever way it goes to Senator Luedtke or the Executive Board, it is really immaterial to me which way it goes, they will both do the job I think. I think I have enough duties without being on the committee, also. I'll let one of the two committees that are already set up do this thing. As I say, if Senator Luedtke wants it or Senator Carpenter, it is immaterial to me. They both know the intent of who does the job. The only thing I am interested in, I want the job done.

SPEAKER: The chair recognizes Senator Luedtke.

SENATOR SYAS: And I think either one would do it.

SPEAKER: Thank you.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Mr. President, the Judiciary Committee has plenty of work to do without this, however, I would leave it go to the Executive Board if I had my druthers and let Senator Carpenter decide if the Judiciary Committee should do any further studying on it because he is on the Judiciary Committee and he could very easily then bring it to the Judiciary Committee if that is deemed necessary.

SPEAKER: The chair understands, Senator Luedtke, you are speaking essentially in support of Senator Carpenter's amendment. Is there any further discussion of the Carpenter amendment.to the Syas motion. All those in favor of the Carpenter amendment please say aye, those opposed please say no. So